

This article was downloaded by: [Dr Javad Karimzadeh]

On: 07 November 2014, At: 12:18

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Archives Of Phytopathology And Plant Protection

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gapp20>

Assessment of naturally occurring parasitism of diamondback moth in field using recruitment method

Maryam Afiunizadeh^a & Javad Karimzadeh^b

^a Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

^b Department of Plant Protection, Isfahan Research Center for Agriculture and Natural Resources, Isfahan, Iran

Published online: 05 Feb 2014.

To cite this article: Maryam Afiunizadeh & Javad Karimzadeh (2015) Assessment of naturally occurring parasitism of diamondback moth in field using recruitment method, Archives Of Phytopathology And Plant Protection, 48:1, 43-49, DOI: [10.1080/03235408.2014.882113](https://doi.org/10.1080/03235408.2014.882113)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03235408.2014.882113>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms &

Conditions of access and use can be found at <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

Assessment of naturally occurring parasitism of diamondback moth in field using recruitment method

Maryam Afunizadeh^a and Javad Karimzadeh^{b*}

^aDepartment of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran; ^bDepartment of Plant Protection, Isfahan Research Center for Agriculture and Natural Resources, Isfahan, Iran

(Received 28 December 2013; accepted 5 January 2014)

The recent major outbreaks of diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae), in cruciferous plants in Iran has led to attempts for sustainable pest management strategies, mainly based on the natural enemies. The present study aimed to investigate naturally occurring parasitism of *P. xylostella* in cabbage and cauliflower fields of central Iran. For this purpose, field studies were performed to identify parasitoids of *P. xylostella*, and to evaluate percentage parasitism of *P. xylostella* using the recruitment method in main cabbage-growing areas of Isfahan province in 2009. In present study, seven species of parasitoid wasps (five larval and two pupal parasitoids) and two species of hyperparasitoid wasps were determined. The parasitoids included the braconids *Cotesia vestalis* (Kurdjumov), *Bracon hebetor* Say and *Apanteles* sp., the ichneumonid *Diadegma semiclausum* (Hellen), and the eulophid *Oomyzus sokolowskii* (Kurdjumov) as larval parasitoids, and the ichneumonids *Diadromus collaris* (Gravenhorst) and *Diadromus subtilicornis* (Gravenhorst) as pupal parasitoids. In addition, the pteromalids *Mokrzeckia obscura* Graham and *Pteromalus* sp. were identified as the hyperparasitoids, which in turn parasitise *C. vestalis*. The most predominant species were *C. vestalis* and *D. semiclausum* with the proportional abundance of 0.43 and 0.42, respectively. Percentage parasitism varied significantly between host plants, but not between areas; the parasitised proportion of *P. xylostella* larvae fed on common cabbage was significantly greater than that on cauliflower (0.42 vs. 0.34). The mean percentage parasitism varied between 14.5 and 68.4 for different fields, and accounted for 37.4% of *P. xylostella* population on an average. The greatest parasitism was achieved by *C. vestalis*, *D. semiclausum* and *O. sokolowskii*, with a parasitism of 21.0, 12.9 and 3.5% of field populations of *P. xylostella*, respectively. These findings illustrated the important role of parasitoids for sustainable management of diamondback moth.

Keywords: *Plutella*; parasitoids; parasitism; recruitment; Iran

Introduction

The diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera, Plutellidae), is the most destructive and cosmopolitan pest of cruciferous plants (Talekar & Shelton 1993). The overuse of chemical pesticides against this pest has resulted in resistance to all groups of insecticides, including insect-growth regulators (Alizadeh et al. 2012) and *Bacillus thuringiensis* Berliner (Karimzadeh & Sayyed 2011; Gulzar et al. 2012). In addition, the intensive use of insecticides has eliminated effective natural predation of *P. xylostella* in

*Corresponding author. Email: jkarimzadeh@iripp.ir

field. Previous studies have shown that larval and pupal parasitoids are the most effective natural enemies of *P. xylostella* (Talekar & Shelton 1993; Sarfraz et al. 2005; Karimzadeh & Wright 2008; Alizadeh et al. 2011). In this regard, parasitoids must be the cornerstone of any pest-management programme looking for *P. xylostella* sustainable management (Verkerk & Wright 1996).

Another key biotic factor in regulation of *P. xylostella* populations in the field is host-plant availability (Kfir 1997; Karimzadeh et al. 2004; Soufbaf et al. 2012). Plants may mediate many of the interactions between herbivores and their parasitoids, influencing the preference and performance of parasitoids (Cortesero et al. 2000; Karimzadeh et al. 2013). Generally, in a tritrophic system variation in host-plant characteristics (Soufbaf et al. 2010a, 2010b; Soufbaf et al. 2013) may have differential effects on a herbivore and its associated natural enemies (Karimzadeh & Wright 2008). Many studies revealed that different host-plant species or cultivars have differential effects on *P. xylostella* parasitism success by parasitoids, in particular, *Cotesia vestalis* (Haliday) and *Diadegma semiclausum* (Hellen) (e.g. Talekar & Yang 1991; Verkerk & Wright 1997; Haseeb et al. 2001; Liu & Jiang 2003; Karimzadeh et al. 2004; Karimzadeh & Wright 2008).

In recent years, *P. xylostella* has shown major outbreaks in cabbage and cauliflower fields in Isfahan province (central Iran). To overcome such a serious problem, struggling farmers have used all available synthetic insecticides even up to more than 10 times of recommended doses. Unfortunately, the overuse of pesticides not only had no satisfactory control of the pest, but also has increased the environmental and health concerns. The present study aimed to identify larval and pupal parasitoids of *P. xylostella*, and to assess the natural percentage parasitism of field populations of *P. xylostella* on two different host plants.

Materials and methods

The study sites

The study was carried out in two main cabbage-growing areas of Isfahan province (Iran), which were located in Falavarjan (32°33'N; 51°31'E) and Mobarakeh (between 32°3'N and 32°28'N; between 51°13' and 51°48'E) counties.

Parasitoid species and their abundance

To identify the larval and pupal parasitoids of *P. xylostella*, in each county two fields of common cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata*) cv. Globe Master and two fields of cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis*) cv. Royal were selected. Each field was sampled fortnightly between June (one month after transplantation) and October (harvest) 2009. Sampling was carried out on 10 randomly selected plants within each field, where all *P. xylostella* second, third and fourth instar larvae, prepupae and pupae on each plant were collected and reared under laboratory conditions (25 ± 5 °C, 70 ± 5% RH and L:D 16:8 h). Emerged parasitoids were then identified by Gavin Broad (Department of Entomology, The Natural History Museum, London, UK), Hosseinali Lotfalizadeh (Department of Plant Protection, East Azerbaijan Research Centre for Agriculture and Natural Resources, Iran), Jenő Papp (Department of Zoology, Hungarian Natural History Museum, Budapest, Hungary), John LaSalle (Division of Entomology, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Canberra, Australia), James B. Whitfield (Department of Entomology, School of Integrative Biology, School

of Life Sciences, College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana, USA), Kees van Achterberg (Department of Terrestrial Zoology, National Museum of Natural History, Leiden, Netherlands) and Mark R. Shaw (National Museums of Scotland, Edinburgh, UK). The number of individuals for each parasitoid species was recorded and used as an index for abundance.

Percentage parasitism

Recruitment method (van Driesche et al. 1991) was used to evaluate natural parasitism of *P. xylostella* larvae. The sampling was carried out during August to October 2009. In each area, two fields of common cabbage and two fields of cauliflower were chosen such that there was a minimum of 5 km distance between the fields. No pesticide was applied in the selected fields from one week before to end of sampling process. Sampling was then carried out on 10 randomly selected plants within each field; all the second, third and fourth instar larvae, prepupae and pupae of *P. xylostella* were collected and transferred to laboratory. In laboratory, only the fourth instar larvae were reared under standard constant conditions (25 ± 5 °C, $70 \pm 5\%$ RH and LD 16:8 h) and all other stages were discarded. The larva reared on the related host plant until the moth had pupated or the parasitoid cocoon had formed (here the number of formed parasitoid cocoons was recorded as the parasitism success; Karimzadeh et al. 2004). After 48 h, the same plants were searched and the number of second instar larvae recruited to plants was recorded (as the recruited hosts). The whole process was repeated one week later in the same fields. The rate of parasitism was calculated as the ratio of the parasitism success to the recruited hosts (Verkerk & Wright 1997).

Statistical analyses

Differences in the level of parasitism rate between host-plant types and between regions were analysed using logistic analysis of deviance (binomial errors). All statistical analyses were completed in R. 2.10.0 (Crawly 2005, 2007).

Results

Larval and pupal parasitoids of *P. xylostella* and their abundance

In present study, seven species of parasitoid wasps (five larval and two pupal parasitoids) and two species of hyperparasitoid wasps were determined (Table 1). Larval parasitoids were three braconids, *C. vestalis* (Haliday), *Apanteles* sp. and *Bracon hebetor* Say, an ichneumonid, *D. semiclausum* (Hellen), and an eulophid, *Oomyzus sokolowskii* (Kurdjumov). Pupal parasitoids were ichneumonids *Diadromus collaris* (Gravenhorst) and *Diadromus subtilicornis* (Gravenhorst). The hyperparasitoids were pteromalids *Mokrzeckia obscura* Graham and *Pteromalus* sp. that act as the parasitoids of *C. vestalis*. The most predominant species were *C. vestalis* and *D. semiclausum* with the proportional abundance of 0.43 and 0.42, respectively (Table 1).

Natural percentage parasitism of *P. xylostella*

There was a significant difference ($t_{157} = -3.339$, $p < 0.01$) between host plants for the mean percentage parasitism; the mean percentage parasitism of *P. xylostella* larvae fed on common cabbage was significantly greater than on cauliflower (42.8 vs. 33.7).

Table 1. Parasitoids of *P. xylostella* and their abundance in Isfahan province, Iran.

Species	Parasitoid		Time	Plant ^a	Occurrence		
	Family	Type			Number ^b		
					F	M	Total
<i>Cotesia plutellae</i>	Braconidae	Larval	May–Oct.	CA, CO	212	255	467
<i>Apanteles</i> sp.	Braconidae	Larval	Sept.	CA	0	5	5
<i>Bracon hebetor</i>	Braconidae	Larval	Oct.	CO	0	3	3
<i>Diadegma semiclausum</i>	Ichneumonidae	Larval	May–Oct.	CA, CO	176	279	455
<i>Diadromus subtilicornis</i>	Ichneumonidae	Pupal	July–Oct.	CA, CO	5	10	15
<i>Diadromus collaris</i>	Ichneumonidae	Pupal	July–Oct.	CA, CO	8	0	8
<i>Oomyzus sokolowskii</i>	Eulophidae	Larval–pupal	June–Oct.	CA, CO	86	39	125
<i>Mokrzeckia obscura</i>	Pteromalidae	Hyperparasitoid	Sept.	CA	0	2	2
<i>Pteromalus</i> sp.	Pteromalidae	Hyperparasitoid	Sept.	CA	0	1	1

^aHost-plant type: CA = cauliflower, CO = common cabbage.

^bCounty: F = Falavarjan, M = Mobarakeh.

However, no significant difference was observed between areas ($t_{156} = 0.797$, $p = 0.43$; Table 2). In addition, comparison of sampling times showed that mean percentage parasitism in second sampling time was significantly greater ($t_{157} = 3.810$, $p < 0.001$) than that in first one (42.8 vs. 32.3). The mean percentage parasitism varied between 14.5 and 68.4 for different fields, and accounted for 37.4% of *P. xylostella* population on average (Table 2). The greatest parasitism was achieved by *C. vestalis*, *D. semiclausum* and *O. sokolowskii*, with a parasitism of 21.0, 12.9 and 3.5% of field populations of *P. xylostella*, respectively.

Table 2. Natural parasitism of *P. xylostella* by larval parasitoids in Isfahan province, Iran.

County	Sampling			Percentage parasitism (Mean ± SE)			
	Host plant	Field	Time				
Falavarjan	Common cabbage	1	1st	29.4 ± 6.7			
			2nd	51.5 ± 8.3			
	Cauliflower	2	1st	49.5 ± 10.7			
			2nd	30.4 ± 6.1		40.2 ± 4.3	
		1	1st	14.5 ± 4.8			
			2nd	41.1 ± 8.2			
Mobarakeh	Common cabbage	2	1st	32.5 ± 5.5			
			2nd	43.2 ± 9.1		31.2 ± 4.0	
	Cauliflower	1	1st	37.8 ± 4.1			
			2nd	68.4 ± 8.8			
		2	1st	36.1 ± 5.8			
			2nd	36.8 ± 4.3		42.8 ± 3.6	
	2	1st	31.9 ± 4.8				
		2nd	42.4 ± 3.4				
				29.3 ± 3.2			
				28.2 ± 3.8	35.3 ± 2.1	38.9 ± 2.2	

Discussion

The results presented here show that despite numerous applications of insecticides against diamondback moth in cabbage fields of Isfahan province, the diversity and performance of parasitoids are noticeable. The level of natural parasitism under current situation (high pressure of pesticides and no support for biological control agents) is fascinating, implying that biological control plays a key role in suppressing *P. xylostella* populations.

In this regard, it is necessary to support naturally occurring parasitism in fields by limiting pesticide use. Furthermore, mass rearing and release of effective parasitoids such as *C. vestalis*, *D. semiclausum* and *Diadromus* spp. accompanied with more environmentally friendly pesticides such as *B. thuringiensis* might be complementary to natural check by parasitoids. It also is essential to evaluate parasitism level accurately during several subsequent growing seasons in each area to have a better understanding of natural check by parasitoids.

Both of the host plants used in this study have shown to be partially resistant to attack by *P. xylostella* (Jafary et al. 2010, 2012). The observed differences in parasitism between these two host plants, therefore, might be due to biochemical differences between host plants. The induced volatiles from infested plants vary between plant species or cultivars; such volatiles can cause special behaviour in parasitoids (Vet & Dicke 1992). Several studies have demonstrated that two specialist larval parasitoids of diamondback moth, *C. vestalis* and *D. semiclausum*, have different responses to various cruciferous species or cultivars (Talekar & Yang 1991; Verkerk & Wright 1997; Liu & Jiang 2003; Karimzadeh et al. 2004; Rossbach et al. 2006). Apart from the mechanisms underpinning the plant-mediated differences in natural parasitism of *P. xylostella* (Bukovinszki et al. 2005; Kahuthia-Gathu et al. 2008; Karimzadeh & Wright 2008), such difference can be useful for manipulating crop–pest–parasitoid system in order to enhance the effects of parasitoids.

Here, it is documented that Isfahan province has a high potential for biological control of *P. xylostella*. Naturally occurring parasitism must be supported and improved. This cannot be practicable unless the pressure of chemical pesticides is limited and studies focus on sustainable management strategies based on native parasitoids.

Acknowledgements

We thank G. Broad, H. Lotfalizadeh, J. Papp, J. LaSalle, J. B. Whitfield, K. van Achterberg and M. R. Shaw for determination of species.

References

- Alizadeh M, Karimzadeh J, Rassoulilian GR, Farazmand H, Hoseini-Naveh V, Pourian HR. 2012. Sublethal effects of pyriproxyfen, a juvenile hormone analogue, on *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae): life table study. Arch Phytopathol Plant Prot. 45:1741–1763.
- Alizadeh M, Rassoulilian GR, Karimzadeh J, Naveh V, Farazmand H. 2011. Biological study of *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lep.: Plutellidae) and its solitary endoparasitoid, *Cotesia Vestalis* (Haliday) (Hym. Braconidae) under laboratory conditions. Pak J Biol Sci. 14:1090–1099.
- Bukovinszky T, Gols R, Posthumus MA, Vet LEM, van Lenteren JC. 2005. Variation in plant volatiles and attraction of the parasitoid *Diadegma semiclausum* (Hellen). J Chem Ecol. 31:461–480.
- Cortesero AM, Stapel JO, Lewis WJ. 2000. Understanding and manipulating plant attributes to enhance biological control. Biol Control. 17:35–49.
- Crawley MJ. 2005. Statistics: an introduction using R. Chichester: Wiley.

- Crawley MJ. 2007. The R book. Chichester: Wiley.
- van Driesche RG, Bellows TS Jr, Elkinton JS, Gould JR, Ferro DN. 1991. The meaning of percentage parasitism revisited: solutions to the problem of accurately estimating total losses from parasitism. *Environ Entomol.* 20:1–7.
- Gulzar A, Sayyed AH, Karimzadeh J, Wright DJ. 2012. Lack of cross resistance to non-*Bt* insecticides in a mode-1, Cry1Ac-resistant population of *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). *Appl Entomol Phytopathol.* 79:29–35.
- Haseeb M, Kobori Y, Amano H, Nemoto H. 2001. Population density of *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) and its parasitoid *Cotesia plutellae* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) on two varieties of cabbage in an urban environment. *Appl Entomol Zool.* 36:353–360.
- Jafary M, Karimzadeh J, Farazmand H, Rezapannah MR. 2012. The role of host-plants resistance on development of *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera, Plutellidae) in laboratory. *Iran J Entomol Res.* 3:285–293.
- Jafary M, Karimzadeh J, Rezapannah MR. 2010. The effects of host-plant resistance on the susceptibility of the diamondback moth to *Bacillus thuringiensis*. In: Proceedings of the 43th Meeting of Society for Invertebrate Pathology; 2010 July 11–15; Trabzon, Turkey; p. 53.
- Kahuthia-Gathu R, Löhr B, Poehling HM. 2008. Effect of common wild crucifer species of Kenya on fitness of two exotic diamondback moth parasitoids, *Cotesia plutellae* and *Diadegma semiclausum*. *Crop Prot.* 27:1477–1484.
- Karimzadeh J, Bonsall MB, Wright DJ. 2004. Bottom-up and top-down effects in a tritrophic system: the population dynamics of *Plutella xylostella* - *Cotesia plutellae* (Kurdjumov) on different host plants. *Ecol Entomol.* 29:285–293.
- Karimzadeh J, Hardie J, Wright DJ. 2013. Plant resistance affects the olfactory response and parasitism success of *Cotesia vestalis*. *J Insect Behav.* 26:35–50.
- Karimzadeh J, Sayyed AH. 2011. Immune system challenge in a host-parasitoid-pathogen system: interaction between *Cotesia plutellae* (Hym.: Braconidae) and *Bacillus thuringiensis* influences parasitism and phenoloxidase cascade of *Plutella xylostella* (Lep.: Plutellidae). *J Entomol Soc Iran.* 30:27–38.
- Karimzadeh J, Wright DJ. 2008. Bottom-up cascading effects in a tritrophic system: interaction between plant quality and host-parasitoid immune responses. *Ecol Entomol.* 33:45–52.
- Kfir R. 1997. Parasitoids of *Plutella xylostella* (Lep.: Plutellidae) in South Africa: an annotated list. *Entomophaga.* 42:517–523.
- Liu SS, Jiang LH. 2003. Differential parasitism of *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) larvae by the parasitoid *Cotesia plutellae* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) on two host plant species. *B Entomol Res.* 93:65–72.
- Roszbach A, Löhr B, Vidal S. 2006. Parasitism of *Plutella xylostella* L. feeding on a new host plant. *Environ. Entomol.* 35:1350–1357.
- Sarfranz M, Keddie AB, Dossdall LM. 2005. Biological control of the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella*: a review. *Biocont Sci Technol.* 15:763–789.
- Soufba M, Fathipour Y, Hui C, Karimzadeh J. 2012. Effects of plant availability and habitat size on the coexistence of two competing parasitoids in a tri-trophic food web of canola, diamondback moth and parasitic wasps. *Ecol Modell.* 244:49–56.
- Soufba M, Fathipour Y, Karimzadeh J, Zalucki MP. 2010a. Development and age-specific mortality of diamondback moth on *Brassica* host plants: pattern and causes of mortality under laboratory conditions. *Ann Entomol Soc Am.* 103:574–579.
- Soufba M, Fathipour Y, Karimzadeh J, Zalucki MP. 2010b. Bottom-up effect of different host plants on *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae): a life-table study on canola. *J Econ Entomol.* 103:2019–2027.
- Soufba M, Fathipour Y, Zalucki MP, Karimzadeh J. 2013. Relevance of reproductive correlates in response of diamondback moth (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) to plant quality. *J Entomol Acarol Res.* 45:52–56.
- Talekar NS, Shelton AM. 1993. Biology, ecology, and management of the diamondback moth. *Ann Rev Entomol.* 38:275–301.
- Talekar NS, Yang JC. 1991. Characteristic of parasitism of diamondback moth by two larval parasitoids. *Entomophaga.* 36:95–104.
- Verkerk RHJ, Wright DJ. 1996. Multitrophic interactions and management of the diamondback moth: a review. *Bull Entomol Res.* 86:205–216.

- Verkerk RHJ, Wright DJ. 1997. Field-based studies with the diamondback moth tritrophic system in Cameron Highlands of Malaysia: implications for pest management. *Int J Pest Manage.* 43:27–33.
- Vet LEM, Dicke M. 1992. Ecology of infochemical use by natural enemies in a tritrophic context. *Ann Rev Entomol.* 37:141–172.